



LABOR MATCHING MODELS: EFFICIENCY PROPERTIES

JANUARY 24, 2020

LABOR-MATCHING EFFICIENCY

□ Social Planning problem

□ Social Planner also subject to matching TECHNOLOGY

$$\max_{c_t, v_t, n_{t+1}} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t) \right]$$

$$c_t + \gamma v_t = z_t n_t f(h_t) + (1 - n_t)b$$

Fix $h = 1$

$$n_{t+1} = (1 - \rho_x)n_t + m(1 - n_t, v_t)$$

And $n = 1 - u$

LABOR-MATCHING EFFICIENCY

□ **Social Planning problem**

□ **Social Planner also subject to matching TECHNOLOGY**

$$\max_{c_t, v_t, n_{t+1}} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t) \right]$$

Multipliers

$$c_t + \gamma v_t = z_t n_t f(h_t) + (1 - n_t)b$$

Fix $h = 1$

λ_t

$$n_{t+1} = (1 - \rho_x)n_t + m(1 - n_t, v_t)$$

And $n = 1 - u$

μ_t

□ **FOCs**

$$u'(c_t) - \lambda_t = 0$$

$$-\lambda_t \gamma + \mu_t m_2(1 - n_t, v_t) = 0$$

$$-\mu_t + \beta E_t \left\{ \lambda_{t+1} [z_{t+1} - b] \right\} + \beta E_t \left\{ \mu_{t+1} [(1 - \rho_x) - m_1(1 - n_t, v_t)] \right\} = 0$$



Eliminate multipliers

LABOR-MATCHING EFFICIENCY

□ Social Planning problem

$$\frac{\gamma}{m_2(1-n_t, v_t)} = E_t \left\{ \frac{\beta u'(c_{t+1})}{u'(c_t)} \left[z_{t+1} - b - \frac{\gamma m_1(1-n_t, v_t)}{m_2(1-n_t, v_t)} + \frac{(1-\rho_x)\gamma}{m_2(1-n_t, v_t)} \right] \right\}$$

LABOR-MATCHING EFFICIENCY

□ **Social Planning problem**

$$\frac{\gamma}{m_2(1-n_t, v_t)} = E_t \left\{ \frac{\beta u'(c_{t+1})}{u'(c_t)} \left[z_{t+1} - b - \frac{\gamma m_1(1-n_t, v_t)}{m_2(1-n_t, v_t)} + \frac{(1-\rho_x)\gamma}{m_2(1-n_t, v_t)} \right] \right\}$$

Cobb-Douglas matching

$$m(u, v) = u^\alpha v^{1-\alpha}$$

$$m_1(u, v) = \alpha u^{\alpha-1} v^{1-\alpha} = \alpha \theta^{1-\alpha}$$

AND

$$k^h(\theta) = \frac{m(u, v)}{u} = m(1, \theta) = \theta^{1-\alpha}$$

$$m_2(u, v) = (1-\alpha) u^\alpha v^{-\alpha} = (1-\alpha) \theta^{-\alpha}$$

$$k^f(\theta) = \frac{m(u, v)}{v} = m(\theta^{-1}, 1) = \theta^{-\alpha}$$

AND $m_1(u, v) = \alpha k^h(\theta)$ $m_2(u, v) = (1-\alpha) k^f(\theta)$

LABOR-MATCHING EFFICIENCY

□ Social Planning problem

$$\frac{\gamma}{m_2(1-n_t, v_t)} = E_t \left\{ \frac{\beta u'(c_{t+1})}{u'(c_t)} \left[z_{t+1} - b - \frac{\gamma m_1(1-n_t, v_t)}{m_2(1-n_t, v_t)} + \frac{(1-\rho_x)\gamma}{m_2(1-n_t, v_t)} \right] \right\}$$

Cobb-Douglas matching

$$m(u, v) = u^\alpha v^{1-\alpha}$$

Combine and rearrange

$$m_1(u, v) = \alpha u^{\alpha-1} v^{1-\alpha} = \alpha \theta^{1-\alpha}$$

AND

$$k^h(\theta) = \frac{m(u, v)}{u} = m(1, \theta) = \theta^{1-\alpha}$$

$$m_2(u, v) = (1-\alpha) u^\alpha v^{-\alpha} = (1-\alpha) \theta^{-\alpha}$$

$$k^f(\theta) = \frac{m(u, v)}{v} = m(\theta^{-1}, 1) = \theta^{-\alpha}$$

AND $m_1(u, v) = \alpha k^h(\theta) \quad m_2(u, v) = (1-\alpha) k^f(\theta)$

$$\frac{\gamma}{k^f(\theta_t)} = E_t \left\{ \frac{\beta u'(c_{t+1})}{u'(c_t)} \left[z_{t+1} - \left(\alpha [z_{t+1} + \gamma \theta_{t+1}] + (1-\alpha)b \right) + \frac{(1-\rho_x)\gamma}{k^f(\theta_{t+1})} \right] \right\}$$

KEY IDEAS

Taking the pricing kernel as given, the only unknown process here is θ_t

Efficiency in job-postings is governed by efficient market tightness

LABOR-MATCHING EFFICIENCY

- **Socially-efficient vacancy posting characterized by**

$$\frac{\gamma}{k^f(\theta_t)} = E_t \left\{ \frac{\beta u'(c_{t+1})}{u'(c_t)} \left[z_{t+1} - \left(\alpha [z_{t+1} + \gamma \theta_{t+1}] + (1 - \alpha)b \right) + \frac{(1 - \rho_x)\gamma}{k^f(\theta_{t+1})} \right] \right\}$$

- **Decentralized vacancy posting characterized by**

$$\frac{\gamma}{k^f(\theta_t)} = E_t \left\{ \frac{\beta u'(c_{t+1})}{u'(c_t)} \left(z_{t+1} - w_{t+1} + \frac{(1 - \rho_x)\gamma}{k^f(\theta_{t+1})} \right) \right\} \quad \text{AND} \quad w_t = \eta [z_t + \gamma \theta_t] + (1 - \eta)b$$

LABOR-MATCHING EFFICIENCY

- **Socially-efficient vacancy posting characterized by**

$$\frac{\gamma}{k^f(\theta_t)} = E_t \left\{ \frac{\beta u'(c_{t+1})}{u'(c_t)} \left[z_{t+1} - \left(\alpha [z_{t+1} + \gamma \theta_{t+1}] + (1-\alpha)b \right) + \frac{(1-\rho_x)\gamma}{k^f(\theta_{t+1})} \right] \right\}$$

- **Decentralized vacancy posting characterized by**

$$\frac{\gamma}{k^f(\theta_t)} = E_t \left\{ \frac{\beta u'(c_{t+1})}{u'(c_t)} \left(z_{t+1} - w_{t+1} + \frac{(1-\rho_x)\gamma}{k^f(\theta_{t+1})} \right) \right\} \quad \text{AND} \quad w_t = \eta [z_t + \gamma \theta_t] + (1-\eta)b$$



$$\frac{\gamma}{k^f(\theta_t)} = E_t \left\{ \frac{\beta u'(c_{t+1})}{u'(c_t)} \left(z_{t+1} - \left(\eta [z_{t+1} + \gamma \theta_{t+1}] + (1-\eta)b \right) + \frac{(1-\rho_x)\gamma}{k^f(\theta_{t+1})} \right) \right\}$$

- **Efficiency in vacancy posting requires $\eta = \alpha$**

MORTENSEN-HOSIOS CONDITION

- **Cobb-Douglas matching technology + Nash bargaining**
 - **Efficient level of job-creation requires $\eta = \alpha$**
 - **Mortensen (1982 *AER*), Hosios (1990 *ReStud*)**

MORTENSEN-HOSIOS CONDITION

- **Cobb-Douglas matching technology + Nash bargaining**
 - **Efficient level of job-creation requires $\eta = \alpha$**
 - **Mortensen (1982 *AER*), Hosios (1990 *ReStud*)**

- **Intuition: search activity generates externalities**
 - **One extra **individual (firm)** searching for a **job (worker)** LOWERS the probability that *all other individuals (firms)* will find a match...**
 - **...but RAISES the probability that *all other firms (individuals)* will find a match**
 - **Congestion externality** – search imposes both positive and negative externalities (on opposite sides of the market)

MORTENSEN-HOSIOS CONDITION

- ❑ **Cobb-Douglas matching technology + Nash bargaining**
 - ❑ **Efficient level of job-creation requires $\eta = \alpha$**
 - ❑ **Mortensen (1982 *AER*), Hosios (1990 *ReStud*)**

- ❑ **Intuition: search activity generates externalities**
 - ❑ One extra **individual (firm)** searching for a **job (worker)** LOWERS the probability that *all other individuals (firms)* will find a match...
 - ❑ ...but RAISES the probability that *all other firms (individuals)* will find a match
 - ❑ **Congestion externality** – search imposes both positive and negative externalities (on opposite sides of the market)

- ❑ **Nash bargaining: η governs the **private** returns to search**
 - ❑ Share of total match surplus kept by **individual**
- ❑ **Cobb-Douglas matching: α governs the **social** returns to search**
 - ❑ Elasticity of **aggregate** number of matches with respect to u

- ❑ **Efficiency requires equating private and social returns: $\eta = \alpha$**

HOSIOS CONDITION

- ❑ **Also holds under some more general conditions**
 - ❑ **Endogenous search intensity**
 - ❑ **Endogenous “vacancy posting intensity” (Pissarides Chapter 5)**

- ❑ **Pissarides (2000, p. 198): “..we are not likely to find intuition for it...”**

- ❑ **RSW (2005 *JEL* p. 982): “...genuinely surprising result...”**

- ❑ **Is the Hosios condition empirically relevant?**
 - ❑ **Who knows?...it’s a **nongeneric** parameterization...**
 - ❑ **...but valuable because eliminates **wage-determination frictions** but retains matching technology**

- ❑ **Is Nash bargaining empirically relevant?**

HOW ARE WAGES DETERMINED?

- ❑ **Nash bargaining**
 - ❑ **Underlying alternating offers bargaining game**
 - ❑ **The relevant outside option as bargaining is occurring?**
 - ❑ **Value of outside market opportunities?**
 - ❑ **Value of continuing negotiations? (Hall and Milgrom 2008 *AER*)**

- ❑ **Proportional bargaining**

- ❑ **Rigid real wages (completely rigid or partially rigid)**

HOW ARE WAGES DETERMINED?

- ❑ **Nash bargaining**
 - ❑ **Underlying alternating offers bargaining game**
 - ❑ **The relevant outside option as bargaining is occurring?**
 - ❑ **Value of outside market opportunities?**
 - ❑ **Value of continuing negotiations? (Hall and Milgrom 2008 *AER*)**
- ❑ **Proportional bargaining**
- ❑ **Rigid real wages (completely rigid or partially rigid)**
- ❑ **Bargaining theoretic wages are **ex-post of match formation****
- ❑ **Seems very different from taking wages as **given (ex-ante of match formation)****
- ❑ **Competitive search equilibrium**
 - ❑ **Moen (1997 *JPE*): basic static partial labor search model**