# OPTIMAL FISCAL AND MONETARY POLICY

**DECEMBER 3, 2013** 

## **O**UTLINE

Basics of Ramsey optimal policy problem (the microeconomics)				
Applying the Ramsey framework to macroeconomic policy				
<ul> <li>Modern benchmark Ramsey (monetary policy) results</li> <li>Optimality of the Friedman Rule</li> <li>Inflation very volatile and serially uncorrelated</li> <li>Dynamic results: Fiscal Theory of the Price Level (FTPL) foundations</li> </ul>				
How palatable is the strict Ramsey approach for monetary policy prescriptions?				
Nominal price rigidity in the Ramsey environment (SGU 2004 JE				
Nominal wage rigidity in the Ramsey environment  ☐ Chugh (2006 <i>RED</i> ): embedded in Walrasian labor markets ☐ Arseneau and Chugh (2008 <i>JME</i> ): embedded in labor markets with search and matching frictions				

## THE ORIGINAL RAMSEY PROBLEM

- Static problem no lump-sum taxes
- Optimally finance exogenous government spending by levying proportional taxes on a vector of N goods – use N-1 taxes

**Consumer budget constraint** (endowment model)

Condenses

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} (1+\tau^i) p^i c^i = y$$

NO TAX ON ONE GOOD

Possumer budget constraint indowment model) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} (1+\tau^i) p^i c^i = y$$
 Household optimality: 
$$\frac{u_i(.)}{u_j(.)} = \frac{(1+\tau^i) p^i}{(1+\tau^j) p^j}$$

For any pair *i*, *j* EXCEPT FOR ONE GOOD

- A straightforward formulation of policy problem: choose  $\left\{ au^{i} \right\}_{i=1}^{N}$  to maximize household utility subject to
  - Resource constraint
  - All household optimality conditions
  - Government budget constraint (equivalently, hh budget constraint)
  - Primal formulation: implementability constraint  $\sum_{i=1}^N u_i(.)c^i=0$  Choose allocations  $\left\{c^i\right\}_{i=1}^N$  directly

## THE ORIGINAL RAMSEY PROBLEM

- □ Basic Result
  - Tax most heavily the good(s) with the least elastic demand
  - Basic (now, undergraduate...) intuition: taxing goods with low price elasticity of demand creates smallest deadweight losses
  - □ Ramsey problem one of optimally spreading distortions/deadweight losses across markets/commodities
- Uniform Commodity Taxation Theorem
  - ☐ If preferences are homothetic in goods X and Y, tax them at equal rates Atkinson and Stiglitz (1980)
  - ☐ Homothetic function
    - Monotone transformation of a homogenous function
    - ☐ Income expansion paths are rays through origin

    - ☐ Homogeneity a cardinal property of a function
    - ☐ Homotheticity an ordinal property of a function

### RAMSEY FRAMEWORK APPLIED TO MACRO POLICY

- Use Ramsey framework to study (joint) monetary and fiscal policy
  - Consolidated (flow) government budget constraint

$$\tau_t^n P_t w_t n_t + M_t - M_{t-1} + B_t - R_{t-1} B_{t-1} = P_t g_t \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Nominally risk-free, one period} \\ \text{bonds (key for dynamic results)} \end{array}$$

- Original formulation by Lucas and Stokey (1983 JME)
- ☐ Quantitatively studied by Chari, Christiano, and Kehoe (1991 JMCB)
  - ☐ Basic model and results summarized in Chari and Kehoe (1999 *Macro Handbook*)
- Basic model elements
  - ☐ Cash good/credit good environment
  - No capital accumulation
  - ☐ Assets: fiat money and one-period nominal government bonds
  - ☐ Flexible prices and wages
  - Stochastic government spending and TFP
- Policy tools: labor income tax, nominal debt, money creation

liabilities of government

### BASELINE DSGE RAMSEY MONETARY MODEL

- □ Ramsey Problem: maximize lifetime utility of consumer subject to
  - ☐ Resource constraint
  - ☐ Government (intertemporal) budget constraint (primal formulation: present-value implementability constraint (PVIC))

    Initial real

$$E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \left[ u_1(c_{1t}, c_{2t}) c_{1t} + u_2(c_{1t}, c_{2t}) c_{2t} - v'(n_t) n_t \right] = A_0$$

- In principle, also a zero-lower-bound (ZLB) constraint (i.e.,  $R_t = u_1(.)/u_2(.) >= 1$ ), but can show this is always satisfied in the less-constrained Ramsey problem
- ☐ Main Result #1: Friedman Rule always optimal (i.e.,  $R_t = 1$ )
  - ☐ Interpretation: completely relax consumers' CIA constraints
  - ☐ In all dates and states i.e., not just a steady-state result
  - $\square$  In steady-state, implies  $\pi = \beta$  (deflate at rate of time preference)
    - DOES NOT MEAN  $n_t = \beta$  OUT OF STEADY STATE!

## Understanding the Friedman Rule

Standard Ramsey theory: all final goods should be taxed					
	Spread distortions/deadweight loss across all goods				
	Basic Ramsey monetary model: labor income tax taxes both cash good and credit goods at the same rate				
Standard Ramsey theory: uniform commodity taxation					
	Cash and credit goods enter preferences homothetically, so tax them at equal rates				
	Alvarez, Kehoe, and Neumeyer (2004): any cash/credit model that exhibits balanced growth must have $c_1$ and $c_2$ homothetic in $u(.)$				
	Because both $c_1$ and $c_2$ already taxed by labor income tax, do not tax cash good further by deviating from Friedman Rule				
Phelps (1973) conjecture					
	Friedman Rule would not be optimal in a full public finance framework				
	Intuition behind conjecture: activities requiring money ought to be taxed $\rightarrow$ positive nominal interest rate a natural way to tax them				
	Basic intuition correct – but homotheticity makes $R > 1$ unnecessary				

#### DYNAMICS OF OPTIMAL POLICY

- ☐ Main Result #2: Inflation and money supply highly volatile
  - ☐ In face of business-cycle magnitude (TFP and/or government spending) shocks (i.e., numerically solve and simulate)

Friedman deflation on average

	SGU (2004 <i>JET</i> ) flex- price model			
Mean	-3.390			
SD	7.470			
Persistence	-0.028	<b>\</b>		

OPTIMAL inflation rate varies between -11 percent and +4 percent two-thirds of the time!

*Opposite* of NK prescription of having (virtually) zero variability in inflation over the business cycle.

Zero persistence in optimal inflation – stems from lack of any endogenous state/accumulation variables. Chugh (2007 *JME*): introduce capital accumulation and/or habit persistence → high persistence in Ramsey-optimal inflation

# FISCAL THEORY OF THE PRICE LEVEL (FTPL)

Present-value government budget constraint (aka PVIC)

```
\frac{B_t}{P_t} = present value of (future government primary surpluses + seignorage revenue)
```

- ☐ FTPL supposes current *and future* fiscal surpluses are exogenous (aka non-Ricardian fiscal policy)
- Shock revealed at time t (about t or beyond)
  - ☐ Fluctuations in contemporaneous price level accommodate shocks...
  - ...via variations in money supply process
  - □ (Nominal P adjusts because dollar value of bonds outstanding is predetermined – assuming no defaults on face value here....)
- □ Christiano and Fitzgerald (Cleveland Fed *Economic Review*, 2000) provide good introduction to FTPL

## RAMSEY OPTIMALLY EXPLOITS THE FTPL

Present-value government budget constraint (aka PVIC)

```
\frac{B_t}{P_t} = present value of (future government primary surpluses + seignorage revenue)
```

- □ Ramsey government
  - Doesn't take current and future surpluses as exogenous
  - Chooses them optimally!
- □ Shock revealed at time t (about t or beyond)
  - Ramsey government faces tradeoff
    - Adjust current or future fiscal surpluses via changes in tax rates?
    - Or respond via (state-contingent) changes in  $P_t$  (achieved through state-contingent variations in the nominal money stock...a quantity-theoretic mechanism)?
    - □ Depends on relative deadweight losses stemming from the two...

## RAMSEY OPTIMALLY EXPLOITS THE FTPL

Present-value government budget constraint (aka PVIC)

$$\frac{B_t}{P_t}$$
 = present value of (future government primary surpluses + seignorage revenue)

- □ Ramsey government
  - Doesn't take current and future surpluses as exogenous
  - Chooses them optimally!

Main Result #3: Optimal labor income tax constant over time

- Shock revealed at time t (about t or beyond)
  - Ramsey government faces tradeoff
  - X ☐ Adjust current or future fiscal surpluses via changes in tax rates?
  - Or respond via (state-contingent) changes in P<sub>t</sub> (achieved through state-contingent variations in the nominal money stock...a quantity-theoretic mechanism)?
    - □ Depends on relative deadweight losses stemming from the two...
- CCK result: with flexible P and W, changes in P much less welfarediminishing, so engineer high volatility in P (and hence  $\pi$ )

## A THEORY OF MONETARY POLICY?

ш	Should optimal monetary policy be driven by fiscal considerations?					
		Maybe				
		but strikes many as crazy to recommend high inflation variability and high money supply variability				
	Why is high inflation variability undesirable? Undergrad answers:					
		Causes unintended redistributions between borrowers and savers?				
		If so, requires heterogeneous-agent model to think about				
		Causes undesirable relative-price distortions?				
		☐ If so, from where do such relative-price effects stem?				
		New Keynesian view: some nominal prices simply do not adjust				
		Immediate implication: inflation distorts relative prices (relative quantities), hence optimal to stabilize inflation following shocks				
	Rar	nsey framework a quantitative test of the power of some friction				
	in the economy to make stabilizing inflation an important goal					
		Isolates mechanisms (potentially) important for the objectives of cyclical monetary policy				
		Useful even if don't literally want to formulate monetary policy on the basis of fiscal considerations				