

---

**NOMINAL RIGIDITIES IN A DSGE  
MODEL: THE CANONICAL  
NEW KEYNESIAN MODEL**

**FEBRUARY 13, 2017**

---

# THE AGGREGATE SUPPLY BLOCK

- **Optimal pricing and aggregate inflation described by**

$$x_t^1 = p_{it}^{*1-\varepsilon} y_t \frac{\varepsilon - 1}{\varepsilon} + \alpha E_t \left\{ \Xi_{t+1|t} \pi_{t+1}^\varepsilon \left( \frac{p_{it}^*}{p_{it+1}^*} \right)^{1-\varepsilon} x_{t+1}^1 \right\}$$

$$x_t^2 = p_{it}^{*-\varepsilon} y_t mc_t + \alpha E_t \left\{ \Xi_{t+1|t} \pi_{t+1}^{1+\varepsilon} \left( \frac{p_{it}^*}{p_{it+1}^*} \right)^{-\varepsilon} x_{t+1}^2 \right\}$$

$$x_t^1 = x_t^2$$

$$1 = \alpha \pi_t^{\varepsilon-1} + (1 - \alpha) p_t^{*1-\varepsilon}$$

# THE AGGREGATE SUPPLY BLOCK

- Optimal pricing and aggregate inflation described by

$$x_t^1 = p_{it}^{*1-\varepsilon} y_t \frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon} + \alpha E_t \left\{ \Xi_{t+1|t} \pi_{t+1}^\varepsilon \left( \frac{p_{it}^*}{p_{it+1}^*} \right)^{1-\varepsilon} x_{t+1}^1 \right\}$$

$$x_t^2 = p_{it}^{*-\varepsilon} y_t mc_t + \alpha E_t \left\{ \Xi_{t+1|t} \pi_{t+1}^{1+\varepsilon} \left( \frac{p_{it}^*}{p_{it+1}^*} \right)^{-\varepsilon} x_{t+1}^2 \right\}$$

$$x_t^1 = x_t^2$$

$$1 = \alpha \pi_t^{\varepsilon-1} + (1-\alpha) p_t^{*1-\varepsilon}$$

Note DROPPED law of motion for price dispersion...around a zero-inflation steady-state, average dispersion = 0...see Yun (2005 AER) for NK model with LOM for  $s_t$

Condense and log-linearize around zero-inflation ( $\pi = 1$ ) steady state  
See Woodford text (2003), Walsh text (2003, Chapter 5) for details

Measures sensitivity of inflation to marginal cost

All measured in log (percent) deviations from steady state

$$\hat{z}_t \equiv \ln(z_t / \bar{z})$$

$$\hat{\pi}_t = \beta E_t \hat{\pi}_{t+1} + \kappa m \hat{c}_t$$

$$\kappa = \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-\alpha\beta)}{\alpha}$$

# THE AGGREGATE SUPPLY BLOCK

---

- **If no other market frictions besides nominal price rigidity,  $m\hat{c}_t \approx \hat{y}_t$  in which case write as**

$$\hat{\pi}_t = \beta E_t \hat{\pi}_{t+1} + \kappa \hat{y}_t$$

- **Reminiscent of “old” Keynesian Phillips curve**
  - **Relation between current inflation and current measure of activity**
  - **Here couched in language of “gaps:” inflation gap and output gap**
  - **Different from “old” Phillips curve because of presence of expected future inflation: **expectations-augmented Phillips Curve****

# THE AGGREGATE SUPPLY BLOCK

- If no other market frictions besides nominal price rigidity,  $m\hat{c}_t \approx \hat{y}_t$  in which case write as

$$\hat{\pi}_t = \beta E_t \hat{\pi}_{t+1} + \kappa \hat{y}_t$$

- Reminiscent of “old” Keynesian Phillips curve
  - Relation between current inflation and current measure of activity
  - Here couched in language of “gaps:” inflation gap and output gap
  - Different from “old” Phillips curve because of presence of expected future inflation: **expectations-augmented Phillips Curve**
- **Trivial implication: monetary policy faces no tradeoff between stabilizing output gap and stabilizing inflation gap**
  - “Divine Coincidence” (Blanchard and Gali 2007 *JMCB*, 2010 *AEJ:Macro*)
- Introduce a “cost-push” shock:  $\hat{\pi}_t = \beta E_t \hat{\pi}_{t+1} + \kappa \hat{y}_t + u_t$ 
  - Idea: captures variation in  $mc$  due to factors other than output gap (aka “excess demand”)

# THE AGGREGATE SUPPLY BLOCK

- If no other market frictions besides nominal price rigidity,  $m\hat{c}_t \approx \hat{y}_t$  in which case write as

$$\hat{\pi}_t = \beta E_t \hat{\pi}_{t+1} + \kappa \hat{y}_t$$

- Reminiscent of “old” Keynesian Phillips curve
  - Relation between current inflation and current measure of activity
  - Here couched in language of “gaps:” inflation gap and output gap
  - Different from “old” Phillips curve because of presence of expected future inflation: **expectations-augmented Phillips Curve**
- **Trivial implication: monetary policy faces no tradeoff between stabilizing output gap and stabilizing inflation gap**
  - “Divine Coincidence” (Blanchard and Gali 2007 *JMCB*, 2010 *AEJ:Macro*)
- Introduce a “cost-push” shock:  $\hat{\pi}_t = \beta E_t \hat{\pi}_{t+1} + \kappa \hat{y}_t + u_t$ 
  - Idea: captures variation in  $mc$  due to factors other than output gap (aka “excess demand”) **Some sources of  $u_t$  variation: exogenous markup variation; sticky nominal wages; pricing “complementarities;” fin. frictions**

THE NEW KEYNESIAN  
PHILLIPS CURVE

# THE AGGREGATE DEMAND BLOCK

- **Completely ignore transactions role of money**
  - **No money demand...a “cashless” environment**
  - **Money plays only a unit-of-account role**
  - **Justification: cash balances a tiny fraction of wealth**

**Technical Aside:** as long as money enters household preferences additively-separably, *could* have money, but wouldn't affect the *real* equilibrium at all

- **Critical household optimality condition: consumption-savings**

Derived off a riskless one-period  
NOMINAL asset

$$u_{c_t} = \beta R_t E_t \left[ u_{c_{t+1}} \cdot \frac{1}{\pi_{t+1}} \right]$$

↑  
Gross nominal interest rate

In closed-economy equilibrium,  
same as investment

↑

# THE AGGREGATE DEMAND BLOCK

- **Completely ignore transactions role of money**
  - No money demand...a **"cashless"** environment
  - Money plays only a unit-of-account role
  - Justification: cash balances a tiny fraction of wealth

**Technical Aside:** as long as money enters household preferences additively-separably, *could* have money, but wouldn't affect the *real* equilibrium at all

- **Critical household optimality condition: consumption-savings**

Derived off a riskless one-period **NOMINAL** asset

$$u_{c_t} = \beta R_t E_t \left[ u_{c_{t+1}} \cdot \frac{1}{\pi_{t+1}} \right]$$

Gross nominal interest rate

In closed-economy equilibrium, same as investment

Simplify by assuming zero investment and zero govt spending

(simplest NK models assume  $k_t$  is constant...see Gali and Gertler (2007) for relaxing this)

With CRRA utility (risk-aversion  $\sigma$ ), log-linearization yields

$$\hat{c}_t = -\frac{1}{\sigma} \left[ \hat{R}_t - E_t \hat{\pi}_{t+1} \right] + E_t \hat{c}_{t+1}$$

Ex-ante real interest rate



# THE AGGREGATE DEMAND BLOCK

- Completely ignore transactions role of money
  - No money demand...a **"cashless"** environment
  - Money plays only a unit-of-account role
  - Justification: cash balances a tiny fraction of wealth

**Technical Aside:** as long as money enters household preferences additively-separably, *could* have money, but wouldn't affect the *real* equilibrium at all

- Critical household optimality condition: consumption-savings

Derived off a riskless one-period NOMINAL asset

$$u_{c_t} = \beta R_t E_t \left[ u_{c_{t+1}} \cdot \frac{1}{\pi_{t+1}} \right]$$

Gross nominal interest rate

In closed-economy equilibrium, same as investment

Simplify by assuming zero investment and zero govt spending (simplest NK models assume  $k_t$  is constant...see Gali and Gertler (2007) for relaxing this)

With CRRA utility (risk-aversion  $\sigma$ ), log-linearization yields

With trivial resource constraint:  $y_t = c_t$

$$\hat{y}_t = -\frac{1}{\sigma} \left[ \hat{R}_t - E_t \hat{\pi}_{t+1} \right] + E_t \hat{y}_{t+1}$$

Ex-ante real interest rate

# THE AGGREGATE DEMAND BLOCK

- ❑ **Completely ignore transactions role of money**
  - ❑ No money demand...a **"cashless"** environment
  - ❑ Money plays only a unit-of-account role
  - ❑ Justification: cash balances a tiny fraction of wealth

**Technical Aside:** as long as money enters household preferences additively-separably, *could* have money, but wouldn't affect the *real* equilibrium at all

- ❑ **Critical household optimality condition: consumption-savings**

Derived off a riskless one-period **NOMINAL** asset

$$u_{c_t} = \beta R_t E_t \left[ u_{c_{t+1}} \cdot \frac{1}{\pi_{t+1}} \right]$$

Gross nominal interest rate

In closed-economy equilibrium, same as investment

**THE NEW KEYNESIAN "IS" CURVE - aka AGGREGATE DEMAND CURVE**

Simplify by assuming zero investment and zero govt spending (simplest NK models assume  $k_t$  is constant...see Gali and Gertler (2007) for relaxing this)

With CRRA utility (risk-aversion  $\sigma$ ), log-linearization yields

With trivial resource constraint:  $y_t = c_t$

$$\hat{y}_t = -\frac{1}{\sigma} \left[ \hat{R}_t - E_t \hat{\pi}_{t+1} \right] + E_t \hat{y}_{t+1} + g_t$$

Ex-ante real interest rate

Force in "aggregate demand shock" (i.e., govt spending)

## SOME SIMPLE ANALYSIS

- **New Keynesian Phillips Curve/Aggregate Supply Curve**

$$\hat{\pi}_t = \beta E_t \hat{\pi}_{t+1} + \kappa \hat{y}_t + u_t$$

- **AS slopes upwards**  $\partial \hat{\pi}_t / \partial \hat{y}_t > 0$
- **Higher expected future inflation shifts AS outwards**
  - **Interpretation: the expectations of future inflation that policy-makers induce have an effect on the period- $t$  equilibrium**
  - **Expectations missing in “classical” Phillips Curve/AS-AD frameworks**
- **Current inflation a function of all future output gaps and cost-push shocks (recursively substitute for  $\pi_t$ )**

## SOME SIMPLE ANALYSIS

- **New Keynesian Phillips Curve/Aggregate Supply Curve**

$$\hat{\pi}_t = \beta E_t \hat{\pi}_{t+1} + \kappa \hat{y}_t + u_t$$

- **AS slopes upwards**  $\partial \hat{\pi}_t / \partial \hat{y}_t > 0$
- **Higher expected future inflation shifts AS outwards**
  - **Interpretation: the expectations of future inflation that policy-makers induce have an effect on the period- $t$  equilibrium**
  - Expectations missing in “classical” Phillips Curve/AS-AD frameworks
- **Current inflation a function of all future output gaps and cost-push shocks (recursively substitute for  $\pi_t$ )**
- **Reference empirical value(s) of  $\kappa$ : anywhere from  $\approx 0.05$  to  $\approx 0.3$** 
  - Sensitive to whether  $mc \approx \gamma \dots$
  - Sensitive to precise empirical measure of  $mc$ 
    - “Unit labor cost” (i.e.,  $w/mpn$ )
    - “Employment Cost Index” (ECI)
    - **Data: what does “unit labor cost” or “ECI” measure?...**

## SOME SIMPLE ANALYSIS

---

- **New Keynesian IS Curve/Aggregate Demand Curve**

$$\hat{y}_t = -\frac{1}{\sigma} \left[ \hat{R}_t - E_t \hat{\pi}_{t+1} \right] + E_t \hat{y}_{t+1} + g_t$$

- **AD slopes downwards with respect to ex-ante real interest rate**
- **Higher expected future output shifts AD outwards**
  - **Consumption-smoothing foundation**

## SOME SIMPLE ANALYSIS

- **New Keynesian IS Curve/Aggregate Demand Curve**

$$\hat{y}_t = -\frac{1}{\sigma} \left[ \hat{R}_t - E_t \hat{\pi}_{t+1} \right] + E_t \hat{y}_{t+1} + g_t$$

- **AD slopes downwards with respect to ex-ante real interest rate**
- **Higher expected future output shifts AD outwards**
  - **Consumption-smoothing foundation**
- **Current output (gap) a function of all future real interest rates, which depend on expected policy and expected inflation (recursively substitute for  $y_t$ )**
- **Reference value of  $\sigma$ : 1 (based on RBC models)**
- **What “determines” policy?**

## MONETARY POLICY

---

- ❑ To “close” the model, need to specify how policy is set
- ❑ **Taylor Rule** (or variants of) the most common

$$\hat{R}_t = \delta_\pi \hat{\pi}_t + \delta_y \hat{y}_t$$

- ❑ First described by Taylor (1993) as **both a positive and normative “rule”**

# MONETARY POLICY

---

- ❑ To “close” the model, need to specify how policy is set
- ❑ **Taylor Rule** (or variants of) the most common

$$\hat{R}_t = \delta_\pi \hat{\pi}_t + \delta_y \hat{y}_t$$

- ❑ First described by Taylor (1993) as **both a positive and normative “rule”**
- ❑ With appropriate coefficients, describes reasonably well the behavior of U.S. (and other countries’) monetary policy
- ❑ Taylor’s coefficients:  $\delta_\pi = 1.5$ ,  $\delta_y = 0.5$



# MONETARY POLICY

- ❑ To “close” the model, need to specify how policy is set
- ❑ **Taylor Rule** (or variants of) the most common

$$\hat{R}_t = \delta_\pi \hat{\pi}_t + \delta_y \hat{y}_t$$

- ❑ First described by Taylor (1993) as **both a positive and normative “rule”**
- ❑ With appropriate coefficients, describes reasonably well the behavior of U.S. (and other countries’) monetary policy
- ❑ Taylor’s coefficients:  $\delta_\pi = 1.5, \delta_y = 0.5$  The subject of **MUCH** theoretical and empirical interest
- ❑ **Taylor Principle:**  $\delta_\pi > 1$  required for “good policy”
  - ❑ Basic idea:  $\delta_\pi > 1$  ensures **real** interest rate rises more than one-for-one with a rise in inflation – the “dampening” effect on AD lowers inflation
- ❑ Empirical evidence
  - ❑  $\delta_\pi < 1$  pre-Volcker;  $\delta_\pi > 1$  Volcker/Greenspan;  $\delta_\pi$  ?.... Bernanke....

# MONETARY POLICY

---

- ❑ **Why an interest rate rule and not a money-supply rule?**
  
- ❑ **Historical/Practical Reasons**
  - ❑ Experience with monetarism (in U.S. and U.K.) not very successful
  - ❑ Fed's original mandate was to **smooth out the seasonality of interest rates** – and hence (explicitly or implicitly...) likely operated in terms of interest rates
  - ❑ Hard to communicate: “We are going to increase the base money supply,  $M0$ , by 0.72 percent this week...”
  
- ❑ **Theoretical Reasons**
  - ❑ Money demand “shifts” not well understood
  - ❑ Interest rates govern basic decision margins
    - ❑ Intertemporal margin
    - ❑ (Cash-credit margin in a non-cashless model)

# THE THREE-EQUATION MODEL

---

## □ The basic framework

Phillips Curve/Aggregate Supply  $\hat{\pi}_t = \beta E_t \hat{\pi}_{t+1} + \kappa \hat{y}_t + u_t$

IS Curve/Aggregate Demand  $\hat{y}_t = -\frac{1}{\sigma} \left[ \hat{R}_t - E_t \hat{\pi}_{t+1} \right] + E_t \hat{y}_{t+1} + g_t$

Monetary Policy (interest rate) Rule  $\hat{R}_t = \delta_\pi \hat{\pi}_t + \delta_y \hat{y}_t$

# THE THREE-EQUATION MODEL

## □ The basic framework

Phillips Curve/Aggregate Supply

$$\hat{\pi}_t = \beta E_t \hat{\pi}_{t+1} + \kappa \hat{y}_t + u_t$$

IS Curve/Aggregate Demand

$$\hat{y}_t = -\frac{1}{\sigma} \left[ \hat{R}_t - E_t \hat{\pi}_{t+1} \right] + E_t \hat{y}_{t+1} + g_t$$

Monetary Policy (interest rate) Rule

$$\hat{R}_t = \delta_\pi \hat{\pi}_t + \delta_y \hat{y}_t$$

- **(A couple of...) critical questions**
  - **How to define the “target” variables (underneath the hatted variables...)?**
  - **How to allow more “flexibility” in the monetary policy rule?**
- **A constant avalanche of analysis using this basic framework**
  - **See Woodford text (2003) or Gali (2010) text for a *start* on this literature...**