

Economics 8723
Macroeconomic Theory
Problem Set 1
 Professor Sanjay Chugh
 Spring 2017

Perfect Risk-Sharing in GE Search and Matching. Both Hansen (1985 *JME*) and Rogerson (1988 *JME*) prove that the general equilibrium that emerges from an economy in which individuals have identical utility functions, have non-convex choice sets, and in which **labor markets are Walrasian** are **identical** to the general equilibrium that emerges from a representative-household economy in which the household utility function is quasi-linear in labor.

Following a similar approach as Hansen and Rogerson, **prove** that the general equilibrium that emerges from an economy in which individuals have identical utility functions, have non-convex choice sets, and in which **labor markets are based on search and matching** are **identical** to the general equilibrium that emerges from a representative-household economy in which the household utility function is quasi-linear in labor.

For the sake of simplicity in your proof:

1. Omit physical capital k .
2. The intensive margin is $h = 1$ for those who are employed.
3. Omit endogenous LFP (i.e., the only two pools of individuals are those that have a job, n , and those that are unemployed and actively searching for a job, u , with $n + u = 1$).
4. The aggregate law of motion for employment is

$$n_{t+1} = (1 - \rho_x)n_t + m(u_t, v_t).$$

Other than the “usual” variables, define clearly any new variables introduced in the proof.

The following two pages provide a sketch of the representative household in which a continuum $[0, 1]$ of individual family members live. A measure n of these individuals are employed, and a measure u of these individuals are unemployed and are actively seeking a job opportunity. Regardless of whether an individual is employed or unemployment, the “large-family” household that pools all individuals’ earnings ends up giving each member the same quantity of consumption (i.e., “risk sharing” occurs).

HOUSEHOLD PROBLEM

- Dynamic household utility-maximization problem
 - A continuum $[0, 1]$ of households (standard assumption)
 - **A continuum $[0, 1]$ of atomistic individuals live in each household**
 - Representative household has continuum of “family members”

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \max_{c_t, n_t, a_t} \left[E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t (u(c_t) - A \cdot n_t) \right] \\
 & \text{s.t. } c_t + a_t = \underbrace{n_t w_t h_t}_{\text{Measure } n_t \text{ of family members earn labor income (because they work) (and recall we've normalized } h = 1)} + \underbrace{(1 - n_t)b + R_t a_{t-1}}_{\text{Measure } 1 - n_t \text{ of family members receive unemployment benefits and/or engaged in home production}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$A > 0$

An (arbitrary) asset to make pricing interest rates explicit
 Wage (-setting process) taken as given by household

HOUSEHOLD PROBLEM

- **Dynamic household utility-maximization problem**
 - A continuum $[0, 1]$ of households (standard assumption)
 - **A continuum $[0, 1]$ of atomistic individuals live in each household**
 - Representative household has continuum of “family members”

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \max_{c_t, n_t, a_t} \left[E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t (u(c_t) - A \cdot n_t) \right] \\
 & \text{s.t. } c_t + a_t = \underbrace{n_t w_t h_t}_{\text{Measure } n_t \text{ of family members earn labor income (because they work) (and recall we've normalized } h = 1)} + \underbrace{(1 - n_t)b + R_t a_{t-1}}_{\text{Measure } 1 - n_t \text{ of family members receive unemployment benefits and/or engaged in home production}}
 \end{aligned}$$

An (arbitrary) asset to make pricing interest rates explicit
 Wage (-setting process) taken as given by household

- **Consumption-savings optimality condition:** $1 = R_t E_t \left\{ \frac{\beta u'(c_{t+1})}{u'(c_t)} \right\}$

- **No LFP margin in starter model**
 - Each family member either works or is looking for work
- Stochastic discount factor