





		Introducti
Mo	DNETARY POLICY AND FISCAL	Ροιιςγ
	Chapter 7: studied fiscal policy in isolatio Illustrated some core issues of fiscal polic constraint of government, Ricardian Equiv	on from monetary policy y (i.e., lifetime budget alence)
	Chapter 14: studied monetary policy in is Illustrated some core issues of monetary plong-run monetarist link between money of the statement o	olation from fiscal policy policy (i.e., neutrality debat growth and inflation)
	Monetary policy and fiscal policy don't oc from each other	cur in vacuums isolated
	Both occur simultaneously	
	The conduct of fiscal policy can place <u>rest</u> policy can do, and vice-versa	r <u>ictions</u> on what monetary
	Chapter 15: Interactions between fiscal a	and monetary policy
	Focus on dynamic unfolding of events	
	Main idea: budget constraints/balance sh affect the other policy authority	eets of one policy authority
Apr	il 9, 2012	4



	Model Structure
Мо	NETARY POLICY AND FISCAL POLICY
	<ul> <li>Representative consumer will be "in the background," not the focus, of analysis in Chapter 15</li> <li>No explicit utility maximization problems, etc.</li> <li>But we know where optimal choices of c<sub>t</sub> and M<sub>t</sub>/P<sub>t</sub> etc. come from</li> </ul>
	Focus will just be on government actions
	An infinite-period framework
alance sheet inkage ietween fiscal ind monetary iolicy	<ul> <li>Fiscal authority - i.e., Congress/Treasury         <ul> <li>Controls government spending g<sub>t</sub></li> <li>Collects taxes T<sub>t</sub> (will assume only lump-sum taxes throughout)</li> <li>Issues (sells) new bonds (for financing needs)</li> <li>Receives "profits" from central bank (because it legally charters C.B.)</li> <li>Monetary authority (aka central bank) - i.e., Fed</li> <li>Controls money supply of economy</li> <li>by engaging in open-market operations</li> <li>Turns over any "profits" it earns to fiscal authority</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
April	9, 2012 6

Fis	cal authority budget constraint in period t
	$\underline{P_{t}g_{t} + B_{t-1}^{T}} = \underline{T_{t} + P_{t}^{b}B_{t}^{T} + RCB_{t}}$ Total outlaws in period t
	$B^{T}_{t}$ : the TOTAL quantity of (one-period) bonds (each with $FV = 1$ ) Congress <u>sells</u> in period t, each of which has price $P^{b}_{t}$
	$B_{t-1}^{c}$ the FOTAL quantity of (one-period) bonds (each with $FV = 1$ ) the Congress must <u>repay</u> in period t RCB <sub>t</sub> : <u>receipts (profits) turned over from the central bank to the fisca</u> authority in period t
	$B_{t-1}$ the FOTAL quantity of (one-period) bonds (each with $FV = 1$ ) the Congress must <u>repay</u> in period t RCB <sub>t</sub> : <u>receipts (profits) turned over from the central bank to the fisca</u> authority in period t



	Fiscal authority budget constraint in period t	
	$P_{t}g_{t} + B_{t-1}^{T} = T_{t} + P_{t}^{b}B_{t}^{T} + RCB_{t}$	
	$\gamma \qquad \gamma$ Total outlays in period t Total inflows in period t	
	$\square  B^{T}_{t}:  the TOTAL quantity of (one-period) bonds (each with the second sec$	th <i>FV</i> = 1)
	Congress <u>sells</u> in period $t_i$ each of which has price $P_t^{u_i}$ $B^{T}_{t-1}$ : the TOTAL quantity of (one-period) bonds (each wi	ith <i>FV</i> = 1) tha
	Congress must <u>repay</u> in period t <b>RCB</b> .; receipts (profits) turned over from the central ban	k to the fiscal
	authority in period t	
	Fiscal authority budget constraint in period <i>t</i> +1	
	$P_{t+1}g_{t+1} + B_t^T = T_{t+1} + P_{t+1}^b B_{t+1}^T + \frac{RCB_{t+1}}{RCB_{t+1}}$	

	Мол	lel Structure
Мс	NETARY AUTHORITY	
	Monetary authority budget constraint in period t	
	$\underbrace{P_{t}^{b}B_{t}^{M} + RCB_{t}}_{t} = \underbrace{B_{t-1}^{M} + M_{t} - M_{t-1}}_{t-1}$	
	Total outlays in period <i>t</i> Total inflows in period <i>t</i>	
Fed does not issue its own bonds; it transacts usi fiscally-issue bonds on the open market	<ul> <li>B<sup>M</sup><sub>t</sub>: the quantity of (one-period) bonds (each with FV = 1) For open market in period t, each of which has price P<sup>b</sup><sub>t</sub></li> <li>B<sup>M</sup><sub>t-1</sub>: the payoffs of (one-period) bonds (each with FV = 1) the receives in period t</li> <li>RCB<sub>t</sub>: profits turned over by the central bank to the fiscal aut period t</li> </ul>	ed <u>buys on</u> 1at Fed hority in
Apri	9, 2012	10



![](_page_5_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

	Short-Run Interactions
CC	INSOLIDATED GOVERNMENT BUDGET
	Consolidated flow government budget constraint highlights the short-run limits that fiscal policy places on monetary policy and vice-versa
	$P_{t}g_{t} + B_{t-1} = T_{t} + P_{t}^{b}B_{t} + M_{t} - M_{t-1}$
	All analysis from the perspective of the beginning of period $t$
	Fiscal policy in period t is a particular setting for all three of its instruments $(g_t, T_t, B_t)$
	Fiscal policy has three instruments (aka policy tools)
	Monetary policy in period $t$ is a particular setting for all one of its instruments $(M_t)$
	Monetary policy has one instrument (aka policy tool)
	(Problem Set 2: A policy is defined by unique settings for each available instrument)
Ap	19, 2012 15

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

		Short-Run Interaction
Ac	CTIVE FISCAL/PASSIVE MONETAR	Y POLICY
	Suppose fiscal authority sets all of its policy in of them) without concern for the consolidated Fiscal authority is active	nstruments (all <u>threa</u> I flow GBC
	Monetary authority must <u>react</u> by setting M <sub>t</sub> to consolidated GBC holds	o ensure the
	Monetary authority is passive	
	Game-theoretic undertones	
	Fiscal authority is the "dominant" policy-maker	r
	<ul> <li>Hiscal authority is the "leader"</li> <li>Monetary authority is the "leading" policy-mak</li> </ul>	er
	<ul> <li>Monetary authority is the "agging" policy-max</li> <li>Monetary authority is the "follower"</li> </ul>	
	Policy pressure (by fiscal authority on moneta implicit and (largely) through market forces	ary authority) is
	Current situations facing the Federal Reserve?	The ECB?
	And in developing countries?	

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_0.jpeg)

<ul> <li>Which regime describes the U.S.? The euro area?</li> <li>Matter of a lot of debate</li> <li>Maybe there's "regime switching" – i.e., each authority "takes turns" being the follower and the leader</li> <li>Through the rise and fall of political power?</li> <li>Through the ascendancy of strong central bankers?</li> </ul>
Game theory a compelling way to study monetary-fiscal interactions (more advanced course)
Core issue: there are <i>limits</i> or <u>restrictions</u> that each policy-setting authority places on the actions of the others

![](_page_11_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

		Present-Value Consolidated GBC
LI	FETIME CONSOLIDATED GBC	
E	$\frac{B_{t-1}}{P_t} = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{t_{t+s} - g_{t+s}}{\prod_{s=1}^{\infty} (1 + r_{t+s})} + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{Sr_{t+s}}{\prod_{s=1}^{\infty} (1 + r_{t+s})}$ <b>BEEAL</b> value of government debt that must be repaid at start of period t all fiscal surpluses starting in period t all seignorage reven starting in period t	Present-value consolidated GBC value of ues
	The period-t real value of maturing government of	lebt must be repaid by
	either period-t <u>and/or later</u> fiscal surpluses (t - any given year – recall from Chapter 7)	- g is real fiscal surplus in
	or year-t <u>and/or later</u> seignorage revenues	
	or both	
	"Or later" implies rolling over maturing debt	
	Borrowing anew to repay debt that is due	
	Key idea: present value consolidated GBC indicat adjustment and money creation policies can be u	tes that both fiscal sed to pay government debt
	But money creation may spark inflation (monetar	rism)
	□ Expansion of money supply → value of each price of goods rises)	unit of money falls (i.e.,

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

when fiscal authority is active (i.e., the leader )
<u>Definition</u> : A <b>Ricardian</b> fiscal policy is in place if the fiscal authorit sets its planned <i>sequence</i> of tax and spending policy to ensure tha present-value consolidated GBC balances
<u>Definition</u> : A non-Ricardian fiscal policy is in place if the fiscal authority sets its planned <i>sequence</i> of tax and spending policy without regard for whether present-value consolidated GBC balances

considering dynamic (i.e., over many periods) interactions
considering dynamic (i.e., over many periods) interactions
en fiscal authority is active (i.e., the "leader")
finition: A <b>Ricardian</b> fiscal policy is in place if the fiscal authori is its planned <i>sequence</i> of tax and spending policy to ensure the esent-value consolidated GBC balances
<u>finition</u> : A non-Ricardian fiscal policy is in place if the fiscal thority sets its planned <i>sequence</i> of tax and spending policy thout regard for whether present-value consolidated GBC lances
nat matters is
The fiscal authority's entire plan for $t_{tr}$ , $t_{t+1}$ , $t_{t+2}$ , $t_{t+3}$ , $t_{t+4}$ ,
The fiscal authority's entire plan for $g_{t'} g_{t+1'} g_{t+2'} g_{t+3'} g_{t+4'} \dots$
Whether <u>and</u> when the monetary authority "reacts" to what the fiscal authority chooses
itteritter

	Long-Run Interactions: Case
Rı	CARDIAN CHANGES IN FISCAL POLICY
	$\frac{B_{t-1}}{P_t} = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{t_{t+s} - g_{t+s}}{\prod_{s=0}^{\infty} (1 + r_{t+s})} + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{Sr_{t+s}}{\prod_{s=0}^{\infty} (1 + r_{t+s})} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{Present-value} \\ \text{consolidated GBC} \\ \text{in period } t \\ \text{Start of analysis:} \\ \hline \\ \text{Fiscal authority has in place entire planned sequence for t and g} \\ \hline \\ \text{Monetary authority has planned sequence for sr (i.e., money creation)} \\ \end{array}$
	<ul> <li>Fiscal authority then changes the precise timing of t collection but does so in a Ricardian way</li> <li>i.e., makes sure it changes t collection so as to satisfy the present-value consolidated GBC</li> </ul>
Ap	ril 9, 2012 39

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

$\frac{B_{t-1}}{P_t} = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{t_{t+s} - g_{t+s}}{\prod_{s=0}^{\infty} (1 + r_{t+s})} + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{ST_{t+s}}{\prod_{s=0}^{\infty} (1 + r_{t+s})} \qquad \text{Present-value consolidated GBC}$
must be repaid at start of period t       all fiscal surpluses starting in period t       all seignorage revenues starting in period t         Start of analysis:       Fiscal authority has in place entire planned sequence for t and g         Monetary authority has planned sequence for sr (i.e., money creation)
Fiscal authority then changes the precise timing of <i>t</i> collection bu does so in a non-Ricardian way
Question: What is the impact on monetary policy (i.e., on <i>sr</i> collection)?

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

R	$\frac{B_{t-1}}{P_t} = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{t_{t+s} - g_{t+s}}{\prod_{s=0}^{\infty} (1 + r_{t+s})} + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{sr_{t+s}}{\prod_{s=0}^{\infty} (1 + r_{t+s})} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{Present-value} \\ \text{consolidated GBC} \end{array}$
	Start of analysis:       Imperiod t         Fiscal authority has in place entire planned sequence for t and g         Monetary authority has planned sequence for sr (i.e., money creation)
	Fiscal authority then changes the precise timing of <i>t</i> collection bu does so in a non-Ricardian way
	Question: What is the impact on monetary policy (i.e., on <i>sr</i> collection)? I f monetary authority does not alter its <i>sr</i> plan

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

	Monetary-Fiscal Interactions	
MONETARY-FISCAL INTERACTIONS		
	Does Congress act in a way to ensure long-run budget balance? <ul> <li>Sometimes seems yessometimes seems no</li> </ul>	
	If not, then inflationary finance (FTI or FTPL) an important concer	
	"When" would effects of inflationary finance be felt in economy? <ul> <li>Timing not at all clear</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>FTI: effects of inflationary finance felt as a long and sustained (though not necessarily very sharp) rise in inflation</li> <li>In period t <u>and/or</u> in future periods</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>FTPL: effects of inflationary finance felt as a short-lived but very sharp rise in inflation</li> <li>A <u>one-time</u> (i.e., in period t) change in prices, but no further inflation i future periods</li> </ul>	
	Many historical episodes in developing countries of FTPL Little (?) empirical evidence for developed countries	
Ар	il 9, 2012 45	